

Who Really Killed Jesus Christ?

Part One by High Priest Jake Carlson

"Good! I hope the Jews did kill Christ, I'd do it again. I'd f*cking do it again in a second!" — Jewish comedian, Sarah Silverman, *Jesus is Magic*; 72-minute movie, 2006

The Jews, like their creators, the reptilians who have been calling themselves "Jehovah-Yahweh," are masters of confusion, deception, and lies. With the way the Jews play head-games with the Gentiles, I can understand the confusion some Gentiles have about who really killed Christ in the Jewish gospels and who had no part in his death, but this sermon will not only reveal who are the real Christ-killers [*if Christ had existed*], but who invented the "Christ-killers" myth, why they invented it, and also the purpose that it serves.

For nearly 2,000 years, the Jewish people have been claiming to be "Christ-killers," and they claim to "reject" Christ and New Testament Christianity, in favor of the Talmud and the oral and written Torahs. This, however, is not true, considering that the Jews also read from the gospels and other New Testament writings when reciting their curses against the Gentiles. While many Jews claim to be out to "destroy" Christianity, there are other Jewish sects, such as "Jews For Jesus," as well as other Jews who boast about the great amount of Jews who have been coming to Christ and realizing that he is their messiah. The fact is that Christ, although fictitious, is one of their own, as in the Jewish fairy-tales of the gospels, Christ lived and died as a Jew.

By claiming to be "Christ-killers," the Jews have in many ways succeeded in covering up the REAL conspiracies against the Gentiles, namely, Jewish Ritual Murder, criminal banking, and extreme usury, among countless other crimes against us. In countries where there have been numerous Pogroms against the Jewish people, the Jews claim that they were exiled and massacred because they are "Christ-killers" in order to cover up their REAL crimes that I mentioned above.

Part One of this sermon examines this claim and as well as the question of "Who killed Jesus Christ?"

For information about Jewish Ritual Murder, see:

http://dawn666blacksun.angelfire.com/Jewish_Ritual_Murder2.html and

http://dawn666blacksun.angelfire.com/ChristianMass_JewishRitualMurder.html

It must first be emphasized and understood that the Christian [Jewish] messiah, Yeshua/Jesus Christ/Iesous Christos never existed. He is a Jewish invention -- a thought-form -- that is programmed to destroy the Aryan race, as well as to be the ultimate ruling "king" over the enslaved non-Aryan Gentiles who he doesn't exterminate, but keeps as slaves, as the Jewish people hate anyone who isn't Jewish, and they are like leeches and vampires, as they can never learn how to live exclusively among their own without Gentile

slaves or other non-Jewish unfortunates.

For those who do not know what a thought-form is, please read this article that explains them, as Christ is nothing more than a powerful Jewish-invented thought-form. He never existed as a human being, and if we as Satanic National Socialists keep doing our part in exposing and destroying the Jewish people, awakening our people, and spreading the truth, he never will exist in the physical world:

<http://www.angelfire.com/empire/serpentis666/Thoughtform.html>

The Jews ADMIT that Christ never existed: "Jewish scholarship believes that there was no historical Jewish Messiah." "Historians of that era did not specifically mention the Nazarene. The Catholic Church deliberately inserted events regarding the Nazarene into Josephus' writings (e.g. Win. LaSor on the Prof. Winston edition of Josephus, Emil Schurer, "A History of the Jewish people in the Time of Jesus," N.Y., 1961 page 211 - 214). The references in the Talmud also do not refer to the exact personage of New Testament Writings. They are over 100 years too early and have such things as the fellow worshipping a Rock with only 5 students." "Other Rabbinic references are also much later than the Christian time frame, thus do not refer to the specific New Testament figure but reflects the many influences that were around and contributed to what became Christianity." [1]

In the above paragraph, the Jewish author stated that "the Catholic Church deliberately inserted events regarding the Nazarene into Josephus' writings," but like any other Jew that works to hide the truth, he holds back from providing the entire truth. The real truth is that the Jewish people are the ones who initially started the Catholic Church and have always called the shots for what the Gentiles do for this kosher institution -- this Synagogue of Rome. It is sad that not many Gentiles can see this reality.

Since the gospels of Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John, were written AFTER the fictitious character of Saul/Paul of Tarsus' epistles, the first thing to examine is a Bible verse from the gospel of Matthew that was obviously inserted at a period of time that was long after the myth of Christ was invented.

Matthew 27:22-25 states, 'What shall I do, then, with Jesus who is called the Messiah?' Pilate asked. They all answered, 'Crucify him!' 'Why? What crime has he committed?' asked Pilate. But they shouted all the louder, 'Crucify him!' When Pilate saw that he was getting nowhere, but that instead an uproar was starting, he took water and washed his hands in front of the crowd. 'I am innocent of this man's blood,' he said. 'It is your responsibility!' All the people answered, 'His blood is on us and on our children!'

The following statement concerning Matthew 27:22-25 is very interesting and true. The thing about Jews who tell the truth about some things is that they are lying about other things, as truth-telling, as in entire truths, is something that the Jews are genetically incapable of:

"...The exchange between Pilate and the Jews bears obvious signs of fictionalizing. Pilate had no need to answer to the people and no desire to be beholden to anyone not in the Roman organizational hierarchy." "He was a tyrant who represented the deadly

authoritarianism from which the Jews sought relief. The story that Pilate would seek or follow the wishes of the non-Romans he despised emerged only as a brazen post hoc attempt to implicate the Jews in the murder of Jesus and exonerate the true murderers." [2]

"The editors of the Book of Matthew still take pains to show Pilate attempting to save Jesus' life by briefly opposing the will of the Jewish mob. Clearly, the Matthew text results from deliberate spin-doctoring -- an effort conducted by New Testament editors, well after the death of Jesus, to slander the Jews and separate Judaism from Jesus. These editors also sought to rehabilitate Pilate, transforming him from a bloodthirsty tyrant into the unwitting agent of Jewish passion -- a convenient and utter falsehood." [3]

As for the myth that sprung from the so-called "Anti-Semitic" interpolations within the Jewish gospels that basically states that "Christ rebuked the Pharisees and was nailed to the cross for it," the following quote is important to take into consideration in our quest to find out who really killed the alleged founder of Christianity:

"The criticism of hypocrisy against the Pharisees...is very Jewish. No nation has more self-criticism and constructive criticism than the Hebrew/Jewish people and Judaism. It only sounds anti-Semitic when it is taken out of the true context which is a same-team, intra-family rebuking, correction and constructive criticism. Only when divorced from an in-shop, family criticism and presented by the pagan Nazi-like Romans, do those words take on an anti-Semitic spin." [4]

In order to find out if the Jews had any part in killing the Christian messiah, we must first examine the duty that the Christian messiah felt towards his fellow Jews:

"The Jesus who called Jews a pack of vipers could not be the same man that loved the Jewish people so much he instructed the original twelve apostles, 'Do not go among the gentiles or enter any town of the Samaritans. Go rather to the lost sheep of Israel' (Matthew 10:5-6). Eschewing any mission to the non-Jews (that was the later work of Paul), he instructs his disciples to shun the gentiles completely and work only with Jews.

The anti-Semitic Jesus would not have told the Canaanite woman who begged him to exorcise a demon from her daughter: 'I was sent only to the lost sheep of Israel.... It is not right to take the children's bread and toss it to the dogs.' Likening gentiles to dogs would be consistent with a Jesus who hated the Romans rather than the Jews." [5]

"The two Jesuses -- the anti-Semitic firebrand condemning Jews to hell, and the soft shepherd of Israel with no interest in proselytizing gentiles -- are utterly irreconcilable. One is authentic, the other manufactured.

There can be little doubt that Pauline editors changed the story, erasing Rabbi Jesus and inventing Jesus the anti-Semite to substantiate their claim that Jesus came to replace Judaism. Jesus' hatred of his people was a tragic and malevolent fabrication that later justified Christian antipathy toward Jews for rejecting Christianity. [6]

For those who don't know, the Christian messiah is not the Gentile Aryan Messiah, who is also known as the Anti-Christ, but the Jewish messiah, who has been called "Yeshua," "Jesus

Christ," and "Iesous Christos" [Greek version of the Christ character].

In part two, we will find out who exactly killed Christ in the fictitious gospel tale...